

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.]]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/767,792	09/767,792 01/23/2001		Craig A. Lewis 07703-327001 / WCR0117		2248	
26211	7590	09/29/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3622	3622	
				DATE MAIL ED. 00/20/2009	DATE MAIL ED: 00/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/767,792	LEWIS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Donald L. Champagne	3622		

	Donald L. Champagne	3622							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI		•							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expression of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)). 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	e filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo									
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet _ appeal; and/or			the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>The amendments of claims 4, 18 and 41 v</u>	vould be entered upon the filing of	an appeal brief. The	remaining						
<u>proposed amendments and the arguments would after final.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	require more than nominal further o	consideration, which i	s inappropriate						
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Ce	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. [] Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)) :								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).									
7. The proposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-48,71 and 72</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	y and was not earlier presented. S	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.						
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because;						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	No(e),							
13.	ALD L. CHAMPAGNE								
PR	IMARY EXAMINER	Donald L. Champa Primary Examiner	gne						
		Art Unit: 3622							

#